## IN THE HIGH COURT OF GUJARAT AT AHMEDABAD

SPECIAL CIVIL APPLICATION No 6962 of 1996

For Approval and Signature:

Hon'ble MR.JUSTICE N.N.MATHUR

\_\_\_\_\_\_

- Whether Reporters of Local Papers may be allowed to see the judgements?
- 2. To be referred to the Reporter or not?
- 3. Whether Their Lordships wish to see the fair copy of the judgement?
- Whether this case involves a substantial question of law as to the interpretation of the Constitution of India, 1950 of any Order made thereunder?
- 5. Whether it is to be circulated to the Civil Judge?

\_\_\_\_\_\_

RESUME RANCHHOD VANKAR

Versus

COMMISSIONER OF POLICE

\_\_\_\_\_

Appearance:

MS DR KACHHAVAH for Petitioner
Mr Kamal Mehta, AGP for Respondent No. 1, 2, 3

\_\_\_\_\_\_

CORAM : MR.JUSTICE N.N.MATHUR Date of decision: 05/11/96

ORAL JUDGEMENT

It is now well settled that merely because the detenue is a bootlegger, he cannot be preventively detained under the provisions of Gujarat Prevention of Anti-Social Activities Act, 1985 (hereinafter referred to as 'the PASA Act') unless, as laid down in sub-section

- (4) of section 3 of the Act, his activities as a bootlegger affect adversely or are likely to affect adversely the maintenance of public order. Reference may be made to a decision of the Apex Court in the case of Piyush v. Police Commissioner, Ahmedabad, reported in AIR 1989 SC 491. With the assistance of the learned Advocates, I have gone through the material available on record. There is nothing to show that the activities of the petitioner as a bootlegger, has affected adversely or likely to affect adversely the maintenance of public order. Thus, in my view, the order of detention is illegal and is not sustainable.
- 2. In view of the aforesaid, this Special Civil Application is allowed and the order of detention dated 01.7.1996 is quashed and set aside. The petitioner-detenue shall be released forthwith if not required in any other case.

Rule made absolute accordingly.

. . . .